**Adolescent Safety Framework – Process Chart**

**Individual Safer Me Assessment**

Completed by any professional working with the child

**Person of Concern**

Evidence that a person or people have an intention to or are grooming or abusing a child or number of children.

**Individual Low Risk**

The child’s presentation / associations suggest they are not currently being exploited, but extra support may be needed.

**Universal / Early Help**

**Individual High Risk**

The child’s presentation, associations or changes in presentation suggest they are at risk of suffering significant harm.

**Child Protection**

**Individual Medium Risk**

The child’s presentation, associations or changes in presentation suggest they need support and advice to ensure their safety, help and development.

**Multi Agency /**

**Child in Need**

**Peer Group or**

**Location of Concern**

Evidence that a context (peer group, school or neighbourhood location) is adversely impacting the safety of people and increasing their vulnerability to extra-familial risk.

Concerns for individual child: convene Strategy Discussion

Concerns for multiple children:

convene Complex Strategy Discussion chaired by an IRO

Safeguarding Adolescent Working Group

Map evidence of exploitation

Daily Intelligence Briefing Group

Context conference to be convened within 15 working days.

**Neighbourhood lead:** Community Connections

**School lead:**

Headteacher / DSL

**Peer Groups lead:**

DIB / Be Safe

Context Weighting

Share with MASH or allocated social worker as appropriate and agree next steps including who will lead Safer Me planning and review. Management oversight to be agreed. Strategy meeting or ICPC to be convened

Consider multi-agency support planning.

Share with MASH or allocated social worker as appropriate and agree next steps including who will lead Safer Me planning and review. Management oversight to be agreed.

Context Weighting

Consider Early Help assessment / intervention